UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,374	06/26/2003	Junichi Igarashi	NPR-123	2800
. 20374 7590 02/05/2007 KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			EXAMINER	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			. KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		J'A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,374	IGARASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,3,7,8,10-12,16,16</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4-6, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 21-22 and 24 and 25 and 26 and 26 and 26 and 27 and 28 and 28 and 29 a</u>	<u>18-20,23 and 26-36</u> is/are with <u>4-25</u> is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of th	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges the reply filed 12/07/2006, in which claims 2-36 were amended. Currently claims 1-36 are pending in this application with claims 2-6, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 21-22 and 24-25 pending for examination with claims 1, 37, 8, 10-12, 16, 18-20, 23 and 26-36 withdrawn from previous election restriction. Examiner acknowledges receipt of the priority document present in the file, however a first line amendment to the specific is still require for a proper priority claim as addressed in the prior office action and below (emphasis added).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 12/07/2006, with respect to claim objections of claims 1-36 with respect to improper element number format have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of these claims has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 12/07/2006, with respect to rejection under Maginot et al. (6,190,371) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of these claims has been withdrawn, however a new rejection of claim 2 is presented below.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/07/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, Applicant's asserts that the amendment of the claims to further define the blood lumen does not change the scope of the claims. Examiner disagrees with this statement, the amendment constitutes a scope changing amendment because it defines the lumen of blood extraction with a specific spatial relationship to the other

Art Unit: 3763

lumens present in the claims, however if Applicant addresses the rejection of claim 2 below in an after final amendment Examiner will not interpret that change as a new issue at that time.

Priority

A reference to the prior application must be *inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application* or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Art Unit: 3763

regards as the invention. Regarding claim 2, it is unclear to which what is joined to what in the wherein clause of claim 2, starting with "...in said second closed position is joined..." Examiner suggests the addition that the *tip* is joined to the outer tube, as is understood by Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 21-22 and 24-25 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,374 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 2/2/07

Christopher D. Koharski AU 3763